you DAC

PATENT



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent No.:

6,463,803

Issue Date:

October 15, 2002

Application No.:

09/749,693

Filing Date:

December 28, 2000

Applicant:

Tetsuo Fujii et al.

Group Art Unit:

2856

Examiner:

Helen Kwok

Title:

Semiconductor Mechanical Sensor

Attorney Docket:

4041K-000065/DVE

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.182 TO WITHDRAW THE TERMINAL DISCLAIMER WITH THE INCORRECT (TRANSPOSED) PATENT NUMBER, AND REPLACE IT WITH A CORRECTED TERMINAL DISCLAIMER HAVING THE CORRECT PATENT NUMBER

Sir:

On January 28, 2011, a Petition under 37 CFR 1.182) was filed. On March 28, 2011 the U.S.P.T.O. issued a decision on the Petition which indicated the petition was dismissed because the Applicants did not include a statement in the corrected terminal disclaimer retaining the earlier expiration date. Please consider the following.

It has come to the Applicants' attention that the terminal disclaimer filed during the prosecution of the above patent (Application No. 09/749693 now Patent No. 6,463,803) incorrectly disclaims patent 5,416,916, and that the correct patent number is 5,461,916. It appears that the "6" and "1" were inadvertently transposed. Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.182, Applicants hereby petition to withdraw the terminal disclaimer filed during the prosecution of the above patent, and replace it with a corrected terminal disclaimer having the correct patent number.

Statement

According to MPEP 1490 (VII)(B), where a terminal disclaimer was: 1) submitted to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection during the prosecution of an issued patent and 2) the numbers for the patent being disclaimed in the terminal disclaimer were inadvertently transposed, a petition under 37 CFR 1.182 may be filed to withdraw the terminal disclaimer with the incorrect (transposed) patent number (recorded in the issued patent), and replace it with a corrected terminal disclaimer having the correct patent number.

According to the prosecution history, in the May 2001 Office Action, the Examiner cited a non-statuary double patenting violation over US Patent No. "5,4<u>16</u>,916 (Fujii et al)" (emphasis added). In response to the Office Action, a terminal disclaimer was filed and cited the 5,4<u>16</u>,916 patent. However, patent 5,4<u>16</u>,916 refers to a structure for enabling direct memory to memory transfer with a fly by DMA unit, and was invented by Bayle, not Fujii et al. The correct patent is 5,4<u>61</u>,916 Mechanical Force Sensing Semi-Conductor Device by Fujii et al. Based on the irrelevancy of patent 5,416,916 and the Examiners notation of "Fujii et al" in the Office Action, it is clear that the Examiner

inadvertently transposed the "6" and "1". The correct patent number is 5,461,916 (Fujii

et al.): Mechanical Force Sensing Semiconductor Device.

Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully petition under 37 CFR 1.182 to

withdraw the terminal disclaimer with the incorrect patent number 5,416,916, and

replace it with a corrected terminal disclaimer having the correct patent number

5,461,916.

Applicants also respectfully assert that the earlier patent term period based

on the incorrect patent should be retained.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees that may be required

to Account No. 08-0750. If it is believed that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 20, 2011

Michael J. Schmidt, Reg. No. 34,007

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303

(248) 641-1600

MJS/pmg

16136661.1